Unconventional Monetary Policy and International Risk Premia

John Rogers, Chiara Scotti, Jonathan Wright

Discussion by Julieta Yung

Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas



Spillovers of conventional and unconventional monetary policy: the role of real and financial linkages

July 10, 2015

The views expressed in this discussion are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas or the Federal Reserve System.

◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

This paper...

Explores the effects of monetary policy shocks at the ZLB on:

▲ロト ▲冊 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- Domestic interest rates (US)
- Foreign interest rates (Japan / Euro / UK)
- Exchange Rates
- Financial market risk premia
 - Domestic term premium
 - Foreign term premium
 - Foreign exchange risk premium
- Generalized carry-trade return

Monetary Policy Shock

Changes in the 5-year US Treasury future around a monetary policy announcement.

Highlights

 High frequency data: 15 minutes before FOMC announcement vs. 1 hour and 45 minutes after

◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

• Up to a scale factor: decrease of 25 basis points

Identification

- External instrument
- Structural VAR
- At the ZLB: 10/2008-03/2015

Step 1: Estimate monthly VAR(p) 1990-2015.

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2 Y_{t-2} + \dots + \beta_p Y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_1$$

	Domestic rates	\equiv	$r_t^{US}(3m) r_t^{US}(5y) r_t^{US}(10y)$
	Foreign rates	\equiv	$r_t^*(3m) r_t^*(10y)$
$Y_t =$	Exchange rate	\equiv	$ln(s_t)$
	US Employment	\equiv	In (employ ^{US})
	US Price level \equiv	In (CPI ^{US})	
	Credit spread	\equiv	BAA – Treasury Spread

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Step 1: Estimate monthly VAR(p) 1990-2015.

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2 Y_{t-2} + \dots + \beta_p Y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_1$$

	Domestic rates	\equiv	$r_t^{US}(3m) r_t^{US}(5y) r_t^{US}(10y)$
	Foreign rates	\equiv	$r_t^*(3m) r_t^*(10y)$
v _	Exchange rate	\equiv	$ln(s_t)$
$r_t -$	US Employment	\equiv	In (employ ^{US})
	US Price level	\equiv	In (CPI ^{US})
	Credit spread	\equiv	BAA – Treasury Spread

Reduced form: $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{t}} = R\eta_t$, Structural shocks: $\eta_t = \left(\eta_{1,t}, \eta'_{2,t}\right)'$,

where $\eta_{1,t} \equiv$ monetary policy shocks, $\eta_{2,t} \equiv$ other

Step 2: External Instrument.

 Construct Z_t with intraday (τ) changes around monetary policy announcements (MPA)

$$Z_{t} = \begin{cases} \triangle^{MPA} r_{\tau}^{US} (5y) & \text{if} \quad \exists \text{ one MPA at } t \\ \sum_{all,t} \triangle^{MPA} r_{\tau}^{US} (5y) & \text{if} \quad \exists \text{ multiple MPA at } t \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \nexists \text{ MPA at } t \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Step 2: External Instrument.

 Construct Z_t with intraday (τ) changes around monetary policy announcements (*MPA*)

$$Z_{t} = \begin{cases} \triangle^{MPA} r_{\tau}^{US}(5y) & \text{if} \quad \exists \text{ one } MPA \text{ at } t \\ \sum_{all,t} \triangle^{MPA} r_{\tau}^{US}(5y) & \text{if} \quad \exists \text{ multiple } MPA \text{ at } t \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \nexists MPA \text{ at } t \end{cases}$$

• Construct X_t with intraday changes in Y_t when possible If $\triangle^{MPA}Y_{t,i}$ is not available for variable i at time t, then use $\varepsilon_{t,i}$

ション 小田 マイビット ビー シックション

Step 2: External Instrument.

 Construct Z_t with intraday (τ) changes around monetary policy announcements (*MPA*)

$$Z_{t} = \begin{cases} \triangle^{MPA} r_{\tau}^{US} (5y) & \text{if} \quad \exists \text{ one MPA at } t \\ \sum_{all,t} \triangle^{MPA} r_{\tau}^{US} (5y) & \text{if} \quad \exists \text{ multiple MPA at } t \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \nexists \text{ MPA at } t \end{cases}$$

- Construct X_t with intraday changes in Y_t when possible If $\triangle^{MPA}Y_{t,i}$ is not available for variable i at time t, then use $\varepsilon_{t,i}$
- Assumptions:

(i)
$$E(\eta_{1,t}Z_t) = \alpha$$
 and $E(\eta_{2,t}Z_t) = 0$.
(ii) $E(Z_t(\varepsilon_t - X_t)) = 0$.

ション 小田 マイビット ビー シックション

Step 3: Identification.

- Regress X_t onto Z_t to identify the first column of R from $\varepsilon_t = R\eta_t$.
- Only focus on ZLB sample: 10/2008 03/2015.
- First stage is strong.
- Trace out effect of monetary policy shock on future values of Y_t.

Estimation Strategy: Comments

• Exclusion restriction: How strong is the assumption?

(i) $E(\eta_{1,t}Z_t) = \alpha$ and $E(\eta_{2,t}Z_t) = 0$.

MPA might be driven by unexpected changes in employment/CPI reports.

 Aggregation of MPA: Could this potentially bias results down?

 $\sum_{all,t} \triangle^{MPA} r_{\tau}^{US}(5y)$ if \exists multiple MPA at t

Adjusting by day of the month should help.

- Are all effects immediate, particularly when trading hours are different? (e.g., US & Japan)
- Power in the regressions? (p vs. number of observations)

Main Results: Foreign interest rates

Figure 2: Effects of US Monetary Policy Shock on Foreign Interest Rates



NOTE: This figure plots the effects of a monetary policy shock that lowers the US five-year yield by 25 basis points on foreign interest rates (in percentage points) over the subsequent 20 quarters. The dashed lines are 68 percent confidence intervals.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● の < @

Main Results: Exchange rates

Figure 1: Effects of US Monetary Policy Shock on Exchange Rates



NOTE: This figure plots the effects of a monetary policy shock that lowers the US five-year yield by 25 basis points on exchange rates (in percentage points, measured as dollars per unit of foreign currency) over the subsequent 20 quarters. The dashed lines are 68 percent confidence intervals.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 のへで

Main Results: Domestic term premia

Table 4: Effects of US Monetary Policy Shock on Domestic Term Premia (in basis points)

	Point Estimate	Confidence Interval
Five-year	-21.5	(-27.0, -12.6)
Ten-year	-20.5	(-25.8, -10.8)

Notes: The table reports the point estimates and 68% bootstrap confidence intervals for the effects of a monetary policy shock that lowers the US five-year yield by 25 basis points on the five- and ten-year US term premium.

▲ロト ▲ 同 ト ▲ 国 ト → 国 - の Q ()

Main Results: Foreign term premia

Table 1: Effect	s of US M	lonetary Policy Premia (in bas	netary Policy Shock on Foreign Ten-Year Term remia (in basis points)		
		Point Estimate	Confidence Interval	_	
	UK	-13.6	(-22.6, -7.1)	-	
	Germany	-10.3	(-13.8, -7.1)		
	Japan	-5.4	(-10.0, -1.0)		

Notes: The table reports the point estimates and 68% bootstrap confidence intervals for the effects of a monetary policy shock that lowers the US five-year yield by 25 basis points on the ten-year term premium in the UK, Germany and Japan.

▲ロト ▲ 同 ト ▲ 国 ト → 国 - の Q ()

Main Results: Foreign exchange premia

Figure 3: Effects of US Monetary Policy Shock on Foreign Exchange Risk Premium



NOTE: This figure plots the effects of a monetary policy shock that lowers the US five-year yield by 25 basis points on the loreign exchange risk premium (as defined in equation (5) in the text, and measured in percentage points) over the subsequent 20 quarters. The dashed lines are 68 percent confidence intervals.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Main Results: Generalized carry-trade returns

	Point Estimate	Confidence Interval
UK	12.9	(-28.5, 78.0)
Germany	1.7	(-23.8, 32.4)
Japan	-93.2	(-113.9, -54.2)

Table 2: Effects of US Monetary Policy Shock on Long Foreign/Short US Ten-Year Portfolio Returns (in basis points)

Notes: The table reports the point estimates and 68% bootstrap confidence intervals for the instantaneous effects of a monetary policy shock that lowers the US five-year yield by 25 basis points on the returns on a portfolio that is long foreign ten-year bonds and short US ten-year bonds. The foreign country is the United Kingdom, Germany or Japan.

◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Main Results: Comments

Placebo tests

e.g., What is the effect on variables of $\triangle^{notMPA}r_{\tau}^{US}(5y)$?

▲ロト ▲ 同 ト ▲ 国 ト → 国 - の Q ()

Pre-ZLB vs ZLB

- Different instruments
- Different number of observations
- Interpretation of confidence intervals.
- Studying effects in domestic economy.

Conclusion

This paper explores the international effects of US monetary policy shocks on macroeconomic variables.

- Zero lower bound is a particularly interesting period.
- Structural shocks are identified via external instruments approach.
- Effects on interest rates, exchange rates, financial market risk premia, and generalized carry trade return.
- Results suggest that US monetary policy easing shocks lower domestic and foreign bond premia, and lead to dollar depreciation.